

Publication Ethics Statement

Responsibility for the Authors

1. Authors are encouraged to submit the manuscripts via online submission at <http://zgmfskin.sdspfbyy.com/EN/1009-1157> by clicking author login.
2. Submission must be an original contribution by the named author or authors, free of any academic misconducts (such as plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, inappropriate authorship, duplicate submission/multiple submission or overlapping publications, etc.).
3. All authors should be contributors to the work, there's no dispute in the author order, the correspondence author should ensure that publication of the paper be authorized by the other authors.
4. Authors agree to transfer to the Editorial Office of *China Journal of Leprosy and Skin Diseases* copyright of the above-named manuscript. The copyright transfer covers the exclusive right to reproduce and distribute the article, including reprints, translations, photographic reproductions, microform, electronic form (offline, online) or any other reproductions of similar nature.
5. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Support or aid for the publication of the work by persons having no author qualifications should be clearly stated in the acknowledgement.
6. The protection of a patient's right to privacy is essential. The author should collect and keep copies of patients' consent forms on which patients or other subjects of the experiments clearly grant permission for the publication of photographs or other material that might identify them. If the consent form for the research did not specifically include this, the author should obtain it or remove the identifying material.

Responsibility for the Reviewers

1. Reviewers should review manuscripts scientifically, objectively and fairly, and report to the editorial board if multi-submission, misconceptions, intellectual errors, suspected plagiarism or fabrication is found with a manuscript.
2. A reviewer should respect different academic views and shall not make rude, aggressive comments.
3. Reviewers should have no conflict of interest with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.
4. The reviewed articles should be treated confidentially.
5. When a peer review invitation is accepted, the review comments should be submitted within the planning time; delays for completion of review should be notified to the editorial office in time.

Responsibility for the Editors

1. Editors should take all reasonable steps to ensure the quality of the material they publish, recognizing that journals and sections within journals will have different aims and standards.
2. Editors' decisions to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based only on the paper's importance, originality, and clarity, and the study's relevance to the remit of the journal.
3. A description of peer review processes should be published, and Editors should be ready to justify any important deviation from the described processes. Journals should have a declared mechanism for authors to appeal against Editorial decisions.
4. Editors should publish guidance to authors on everything that is expected of them. This guidance should be regularly updated and should refer or link to this code.
5. Editors should not reverse decisions to accept submissions unless serious problems are identified with the submission.

6. Editors should ensure the justice of review and reduce bias. Make efforts to ensure the timely process for contribution by arranging peer review and publication promptly, especially for papers with important findings.